UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BRAEND MANUELA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

TRANSUNION, LLC,

Defendant.

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:

DATE FILED: 12/27/2023

23 Civ. 10998 (AT)

ORDER OF SERVICE

ANALISA TORRES, United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, brings this action under, among other things, the Fair Credit Reporting Act. By order dated December 21, 2023, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"), that is, without prepayment of fees.

DISCUSSION

Because Plaintiff has been granted permission to proceed IFP, he is entitled to rely on the Court and the U.S. Marshals Service to effect service. Walker v. Schult, 717 F.3d. 119, 123 n.6 (2d Cir. 2013); see also 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) ("The officers of the court shall issue and serve all process . . . in [IFP] cases."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(3) (the court must order the Marshals Service to serve if the plaintiff is authorized to proceed IFP)).

To allow Plaintiff to effect service on Defendant Transunion, LLC, through the U.S. Marshals Service, the Clerk of Court is instructed to fill out a U.S. Marshals Service Process Receipt and Return form (USM-285 form) for Defendant. The Clerk of Court is further

¹ Although Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure generally requires that a summons be served within 90 days of the date the complaint is filed, Plaintiff is proceeding IFP and could not have effected service until the Court reviewed the complaint and ordered that the summons be issued. The Court therefore extends the time to serve until 90 days after the date the summons is issued.

Case 1:23-cv-10998-AT-BCM Document 7 Filed 12/27/23 Page 2 of 3

instructed to issue a summons and deliver to the Marshals Service all of the paperwork necessary

for the Marshals Service to effect service upon Defendant.

If the complaint is not served within 90 days after the date the summons is issued,

Plaintiff should request an extension of time for service. See Meilleur v. Strong, 682 F.3d 56, 63

(2d Cir. 2012) (holding that it is the plaintiff's responsibility to request an extension of time for

service).

Plaintiff must notify the Court in writing if his address changes, and the Court may

dismiss the action if Plaintiff fails to do so.

CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is directed to mail an information package to Plaintiff.

The Clerk of Court is further instructed to issue a summons for Defendant Transunion,

LLC, complete the USM-285 form with the address for this defendant, and deliver to the U.S.

Marshals Service all documents necessary to effect service.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore in forma pauperis status is denied for the purpose of an

appeal. Cf. Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444–45 (1962) (holding that an appellant

demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 27, 2023 New York, New York

NALISA TORRES

United States District Judge

2

DEFENDANT AND SERVICE ADDRESS

1. TransUnion, LLC 555 West Adams Street Chicago, IL 60661